EXHIBIT D

	Page 1					
1	THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS					
3	MARSHALL DIVISION					
4	ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS,					
5	LLC,					
6	Plaintiff, Case No.					
7	vs. 2:22-cv-00125-JRG					
8	CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS,					
9	INC.,					
10	Defendant.					
11	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					
12						
13						
14	REMOTE VIDEO DEPOSITION OF					
15	JOHN HOLOBINKO					
16						
17						
18	August 23, 2023					
19	9:00 a.m. Central					
20						
21						
22						
23	Stenographically Reported By:					
24	Deanna Amore - CRR, RPR, CSR - 084-003999					
25						

	Page 34		Page 36
1	is it fair to say that there's a carrier signal and	1	they could understand what a node is in the context
2	then a modulated signal?	2	of this claim?
3	A. No. It's the carrier that's modulated.	3	A. Generically, in the context of this claim,
4	Q. I don't know if this is right or wrong,	4	it would be any device attached to the network that
5	but it's something we can talk to the jury about if	5	communicated with other devices.
6	it's right.	6	Q. And that includes the cable headend?
7	When you say "a carrier that's modulated,"	7	That's a node?
8	does the word "modulated" mean slightly changed?	8	A. No, a cable headend is a location where
9	A. Yes. And there can be many attributes of	9	there are many different pieces of equipment.
10	that change. One attribute would be frequency. In 11:27:47	10	Q. Is the CMTS a node on a network? 11:33:24
11	advanced modulation schemes, such as OFDM and	11	A. A CMTS might or might not be a node on a
12	OFDMA, the phase of the signal and the amplitude of	12	network depending upon the context.
13	the signal are also part of it or they can be the	13	Q. How could the CMTS be connected to the
14	primary parts of it but the FM with the F being	14	network but not be a node on the network?
15	a fixed carrier for that signal.	15	A. If it were a requestor of something, it
16	Q. With respect to a probe that's being	16	would be possible to be a requestor, but, generally
17	transmitted on a carrier, would you consider the	17	speaking, let's say that it's a node on a network.
18	modulation scheme to be a parameter associated with	18	Q. What do you mean by a "requestor"?
19	the transmission of that probe?	19	A. Well, a cable TV system is a one-to-many
20	A. Yes. 11:28:52	20	network. 11:34:06
21	Q. So is it fair to say that with respect to	21	Q. And how does that explain what a requestor
22	a probe that's being transmitted on a carrier, the	22	is and why is a requestor not a node?
23	parameters of the carrier are associated with the	23	MS. ALLOR: Object to form.
24	transmission of that probe; is that fair?	24	THE WITNESS: It's kind of obvious to anyone
25	A. Yes.	25	who's a POSITA.
	Page 35		Page 37
1	Q. Now, you talked about you used the word	1	BY MR. COHN:
2	"form," the "form of the probe." What did you mean	2	Q. Can you explain that? Since you're an
3	by that?	3	expert, and I'm not, can you explain how a
4	A. The form of the probe would be as it's	4	requestor is not a node?
5	described in by the Court. So it would be the	5	A. Nodes are considered elements within the
6	parameters of the probe that are defined by the		
7		6	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in
	probe request.	6	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in
8			
8 9	probe request.	7	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second.
	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe	7 8 9	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure.
9	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those	7 8 9	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this
9 10	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? 11:31:04	7 8 9 10	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45
9 10 11	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says	7 8 9 10 11	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node.
9 10 11 12	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct.	7 8 9 10 11 12	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be
9 10 11 12 13	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about
9 10 11 12 13 14	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get exactly to the right spot. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about communications between nodes, it is possible for the CMTS to be a node in that context.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get exactly to the right spot. Yes. Q. So if you look at claim 1, Element (a), it	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about communications between nodes, it is possible for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get exactly to the right spot. Yes. Q. So if you look at claim 1, Element (a), it says "receiving in a first node."	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about communications between nodes, it is possible for the CMTS to be a node in that context. Q. Does the node have to be able to transmit
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get exactly to the right spot. Yes. Q. So if you look at claim 1, Element (a), it says "receiving in a first node." Just backing up a step, a node is a technical way of, at least in the context of this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about communications between nodes, it is possible for the CMTS to be a node in that context. Q. Does the node have to be able to transmit and receive information on the network? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get exactly to the right spot. Yes. Q. So if you look at claim 1, Element (a), it says "receiving in a first node." Just backing up a step, a node is a technical way of, at least in the context of this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about communications between nodes, it is possible for the CMTS to be a node in that context. Q. Does the node have to be able to transmit and receive information on the network? A. Yes. Q. And if a node is only receiving and not 11:36:47
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get exactly to the right spot. Yes. Q. So if you look at claim 1, Element (a), it says "receiving in a first node." Just backing up a step, a node is a technical way of, at least in the context of this case, referring to a device in the network; right? 11:32:13	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about communications between nodes, it is possible for the CMTS to be a node in that context. Q. Does the node have to be able to transmit and receive information on the network? A. Yes. Q. And if a node is only receiving and not 11:36:47 transmitting, you wouldn't call it if a device
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get exactly to the right spot. Yes. Q. So if you look at claim 1, Element (a), it says "receiving in a first node." Just backing up a step, a node is a technical way of, at least in the context of this case, referring to a device in the network; right? A. I wouldn't hazard a different definition	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about communications between nodes, it is possible for the CMTS to be a node in that context. Q. Does the node have to be able to transmit and receive information on the network? A. Yes. Q. And if a node is only receiving and not 11:36:47 transmitting, you wouldn't call it if a device on the network is only receiving information and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	probe request. Q. So whatever parameters are in the probe request that are used to define the probe, those parameters relate to the form of the probe? A. That's correct. Q. Now, if you look at claim 1, it says element do you have claim 1 in front of you? A. Yeah, just a second. I am going to get exactly to the right spot. Yes. Q. So if you look at claim 1, Element (a), it says "receiving in a first node." Just backing up a step, a node is a technical way of, at least in the context of this case, referring to a device in the network; right? A. I wouldn't hazard a different definition than what is in the patent itself which is referred	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	network itself. The CMTS talks to nodes. So in the let me pause for one second. Q. Sure. A. So, I guess, for the purposes of this discussion, I would go and say that the CMTS can be 11:35:45 a node. Q. In what circumstances would a CMTS not be a node? A. Well, I think that if we're talking about communications between nodes, it is possible for the CMTS to be a node in that context. Q. Does the node have to be able to transmit and receive information on the network? A. Yes. Q. And if a node is only receiving and not 11:36:47 transmitting, you wouldn't call it if a device

	Page 114		Page 116
1	CERTIFICATE	1	Entropic Communications v Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al
2			John Holobinko (#6067725)
3	I, DEANNA AMORE, a Shorthand Reporter and	3	ERRATA SHEET
4	notary public, within and for the State of		PAGELINECHANGE
5	Illinois, County of DuPage, do hereby certify:	5	
6	That JOHN HOLOBINKO, the witness whose		REASON
7	examination is hereinbefore set forth, was first		PAGELINECHANGE
8	duly sworn by me and that this transcript of said	8	
9	testimony is a true record of the testimony given		REASON
10	by said witness.		PAGELINECHANGE
11	I further certify that I am not related to	11	TAGECHANGE
12	any of the parties to this action by blood or		REASON_
13	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the		PAGELINECHANGE
14	outcome of this matter.	13	FAGE LINE CHANGE
15			
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my		REASONPAGELINECHANGE
17	hand this 28th day of August 2023.		
18		17	PEAGON
19	Λ ()		REASON
	deann amore		PAGELINECHANGE
20		20	PELGOV
21	Deanna M. Amore, CRR, RPR, CSR		REASON
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	John Holobinko Date
25		25	
	Page 115		Page 117
1		1	Page 117 Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al
1 2	-		
	KATHERINE L. ALLOR		Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al
2	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725)
2	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com August 28, 2023	2 3 4	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT
2 3 4	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com August 28, 2023 Entropic Communications v Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al	2 3 4 5	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I
2 3 4 5 6	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com August 28, 2023 Entropic Communications v Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al 8/23/2023, John Holobinko (#6067725)	2 3 4 5 6	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any
2 3 4 5 6	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com August 28, 2023 Entropic Communications v Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al 8/23/2023, John Holobinko (#6067725) The above-referenced transcript is available for	2 3 4 5 6 7	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as
2 3 4 5 6 7	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com August 28, 2023 Entropic Communications v Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al 8/23/2023, John Holobinko (#6067725) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com August 28, 2023 Entropic Communications v Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al 8/23/2023, John Holobinko (#6067725) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	kATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com August 28, 2023 Entropic Communications v Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al 8/23/2023, John Holobinko (#6067725) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at cs-ny@veritext.com. Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	KATHERINE L. ALLOR katy.allor@klgates.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Entropic Communications, Llc v. Charter Communications, Inc., Et Al John Holobinko (#6067725) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, John Holobinko, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. John Holobinko Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS